

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,759	08/29/2003	Thomas Wiley	36400.65US1	1777
25541	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
NEAL, GERBER, & EISENBERG			LEWIS, TISHA D	
SUITE 2200 2 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
` \	10/652,759	WILEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TISHA D. LEWIS	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refunction of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tication.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	• •	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exameter.	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bun * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first action on the merits of application serial no. 10/652,759 filed on August 29, 2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on August 29, 2003 has been acknowledged.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 11, "means" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency should be changed from claim 19 to claim 10. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the external control arm" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Brooks et al ('266). As to claim 7, Brooks et al discloses a control mechanism for a transmission mounted in a vehicle having a drive control (via 12) and a brake control (76, 77), a first symmetric linkage member rotatably mounted with respect to the vehicle and comprising a first pair of arms (78, 85) mounted thereon and extending in opposite directions from one another (according to movement of control rods 70 and 80), a second symmetric linkage member rotatably mounted on the first linkage member and comprising a second pair of arms (71, 81) mounted thereon and extending in opposite directions opposite from one another (according to pivot angle of pedals 13 and 14).

As to claim 8, Brooks et al discloses a support rod (75) mounted at both ends of a frame wherein the first linkage member is rotatably mounted.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Wanie ('477). As to claim 7, Waine discloses a control mechanism for a transmission mounted in a vehicle having a drive control (54) and a brake control (60, 62), a first symmetric linkage member rotatably mounted with respect to the vehicle and comprising a first pair of arms (172, 188) mounted thereon and extending in opposite directions from one another, a second symmetric linkage member rotatably mounted on the first linkage member and comprising a second pair of arms (196, 202) mounted thereon and extending in opposite directions opposite from one another.

As to claim 8, Wanie discloses a support rod (68) mounted at both ends of a frame wherein the first linkage member is rotatably mounted.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-6 and 13-18 are allowed.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 872-9326 before final and 703-872-9327 after final. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit

Art Unit: 3681

responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence <u>not</u> permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check <u>should not be</u> submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transn the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 000-0000) on	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	(Date)
(Signature)	

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Okada ('649), Wanie et al ('137), Elmy et al ('082), Erickson et al ('496), Teal et al ('032), Matsufugi ('950) and Wiley et al ('715).

Application/Control Number: 10/652,759 Page 6

Art Unit: 3681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TISHA D. LEWIS whose telephone number is 703-305-0921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8 AM TO 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES A. MARMOR can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tdl September 30, 2004